

Responses (**in bold**) to questions about the Long-Term Methow Habitat Status and Trend Monitoring Plan RFP:

1. Will CTCR coordinate/facilitate meetings with partners or should we budget for that?

As I believe it would be best to have these meetings in the Methow if possible we should be able to work with the participants to find a low or no cost venue at the local Grange hall or MSRF offices. As to coordination and facilitation CTCR can provide some limited help related to coordination but you should budget for what you think is necessary and plan to facilitate the meeting.

2. When is the approximate start date?

We should be able to get the subcontract completed within a month of selecting a contractor.

3. What is the expected budget for the project?

Please budget for the work.

4. In terms of synthesizing existing monitoring data, is the contractor expected to do any analysis of existing data?

No

5. On page four of the RFP, you indicate that the EDT model would provide the "basic spatial structure of the plan." Can you please clarify what you mean by that (i.e., would the plan be built around stream network or reaches in EDT)?

In the Upper Columbia we use the following spatial structure; subbasins (HUC-4), Assessment units (subwatershed HUC's) and reaches (1-4km long). This reach network has already been defined and used in the Methow.

6. We're proposing workshops with OBMEP and Methow subbasin partners. Can OBMEP assist with providing a venue and workshop scheduling?

As I believe it would be best to have these meetings in the Methow if possible we should be able to work with the participants to find a low or no cost venue at the local Grange hall or MSRF offices.

7. The RFP includes external review and comment on the draft monitoring plan by federal, state, tribal, PUD, and non-profit partners, followed by final draft review by OBMEP. Should we assume just one round of external review?

Yes, I had planned on one participant review but also expect a Regional Technical Team Review (considerable overlap with participants and RTT members is expected) and the final OBMEP review.

8.